1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bird & Van Dyke, Inc. A Professional Law Corporation David S. Van Dyke, CABN 154402 Mary Ann F. Bird, CABN 206770 2111 W. March Lane Suite B300 Stockton, CA 95207 Telephone 209.414.3266 Facsimile 209.414.3268 Attorneys for Defendant BEN VAN PHAN  UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10 11	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:23-CR-00188 WBS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE	
14	Y THI NHU LE AND BEN VAN PHAN,	REQUESTED DATE: MAY 28, 2024	
15	Defendants.	TIME: 9:00 A.M. JUDGE: HON. WILLIAM B. SHUBB	
16 17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, Heiko P. Coppola, and		
19	Defendants Y Thi Nhu Le, by and through her counsel of record, Timote Tuitavuki, and Ben Va		
20	Phan, by and through his counsel of record, Mary Ann F. Bird, (collectively, the "parties")		
21   22	hereby stipulate as follows:		
23 24 25 26 27 28	<ol> <li>By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on February 26, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. and time was ordered excluded in the interest of justice.</li> <li>By this stipulation, the parties hereby move to continue the status conference to May 28, 2024 because counsels for the defendants need additional time to properly prepare a</li> </ol>		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER - CONTINUANCE PAGE: 1		

defense. Specifically, defense counsels need the additional time based on the voluminous nature of the discovery.

- 3. Counsels for the defendants believe that failure to grant the above requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence and the Government does not object to the continuance.
- 4. Based on the above stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original dates prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 5. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. section 3161, et seq, within which trial must commence, the time period of February 26, 2024 to May 28, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv)[Local Code T4], because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant' request on the basis of the Court's findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 6. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 21, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT **United States Attorney** 

26 27

28

22

23

24

25

BY: /s/ HEIKO P. COPPOLA HEIKO P. COPPOLA

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATION AND ORDER - CONTINUANCE

PAGE: 2

## Case 2:23-cr-00188-WBS Document 18 Filed 02/22/24 Page 3 of 3

1		
2		
3 4	Dated: February 21, 2024 /s/TIMOTE FAKAOFO TUITAVUKI_ TIMOTE FAKAOFO TUITAVUKI Attorney for Y Thi Nhu Le	
5	Attorney for 1 Till Wild Le	
6	Dated: February 21, 2024/s/ MARY ANN F. BIRD	
7	MARY ANN F. BIRD Attorney for Ben Van Phan	
8		
9		
10	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
11		
12	The matter having come before the Court and for good cause appearing,	
13		
14	The Court hereby continues the status conference hearing to May 28, 2024 at 9:00 A.M.	
15	Time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from February 26, 2024 up to and including May	
16	28, 2024. The ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a	
17	trial within the original dates prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19 20	Dated: February 21, 2024  WILLIAM B. SHUBB	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION AND ORDER - CONTINUANCE

PAGE: 3